Lidar observations of atmospheric boundary layer structure and sea spray aerosol plumes generation and transport at Mace Head, Ireland (PARFORCE experiment)
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A scanning backscatter lidar was used to measure the depth and structure of the coastal atmospheric boundary layer and the evolution of primary aerosol (sea spray) plumes produced by breaking waves during the New Particle Formation and Fate in the Coastal Environment (PARFORCE) campaign at the Mace Head Atmospheric Research Station (Ireland) in September 1998 and in June 1999. The PBL structure was observed to vary from a single-layer well-mixed structure to multilayered structures. Comparison with in situ aircraft measurements of temperature and humidity exhibited good agreement. Using the lidar in the scanning mode allowed two-dimensional profiling over a spatial scale of 10 km, revealing significant primary aerosol plumes produced by breaking waves, particularly in the surf zone and at high wind speeds on the open sea. The initial plume heights were some tens of meters and evolved to hundreds of meters while transported over only a few kilometers from the source. The plumes were traceable to distances of more than 10 km down wind from the source.
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1. Introduction

Generally, the marine atmosphere is considered to comprise a well-mixed and neutrally stratified planetary boundary layer (PBL) in which conserved variables such as the potential temperature and specific humidity remain constant with height. Similarly, it is often assumed that species such as aerosols and trace gases are also well mixed with height. This simplification, however, has been shown to be inaccurate through many field experiments (e.g., the Atlantic Stratocumulus Transition Experiment (ASTEX) [Albrecht et al., 1995] and the Aerosol Characterization Experiments, ACE1 [Bates et al., 1998] and ACE2 [Raes et al., 2000]) and consequently leads to difficulties in interpreting ground based field data. In the recent ACE2 clean and polluted Lagrangian studies in which an air parcel was sampled over a 30-hour period, large changes in boundary layer height and structure were observed. During one of these studies (the clean Lagrangian), the boundary layer height was observed to decrease 50% concomitant with an increase in wind speed. The net effect of these two meteorological changes was to increase the particle concentration in the accumulation mode (and consequently the cloud condensation nuclei) by a factor of 2–3 [Johnson et al., 2000; Hoell et al., 2000]. In contrast, during the polluted Lagrangian study, the boundary layer height, and thus, mixing volume, increased by about a factor of 2 while aerosol concentration reduced by a similar amount due to entrainment from the free troposphere (FT) [Osborne et al., 2000; Hoell et al., 2000]. These two cases illustrate clearly the importance of determining the structure of the PBL as its effect on aerosol and gas concentrations can be very large.

Very often, there is limited availability of data on the vertical structure of the boundary layer due to the difficul-
ties and cost of acquiring such data. Typically, PBL structure is determined through the release of radio sondes at infrequent intervals, by sonar [see e.g., Stull, 1988, section 10.3.2; Schotland, 1985] or by deploying research aircraft at even less frequent intervals and significantly higher costs. Lidar (light detection and ranging) offers an opportunity of continuous PBL observation. Lidars have been used for atmospheric studies since many years. Examples on the application of lidar for studies on the temporal or spatial variation of atmospheric parameters were presented by, e.g., Sasano et al. [1980], Melfi et al. [1985, 1989], or Goldsmith et al. [1998]. Lidar scans in either elevation or azimuth are used for studies on spatial inhomogeneities of aerosol backscatter or water vapor concentrations, or to measure wind speed and direction with incoherent systems [e.g., Eloranta et al., 1975; Kunkel et al., 1977; Sasano et al., 1982a, 1982b; Hooper and Eloranta, 1986; Nakane and Sasano, 1986; Hooper et al., 1996]. Results from a scanning water vapor lidar have been published by, e.g., Cooper et al. [1992], Eichinger et al. [1994], Hagelberg et al. [1998], and Eichinger et al. [1999]. Studies of the three dimensional behavior of atmospheric structures are carried out by a combination of horizontal and vertical scans with the lidar. With this method a large volume in the atmosphere over an area of several tens of square kilometers can be probed within a short time. Pioneering work with this technique was carried out at the University of Wisconsin, USA [cf. Eloranta and Forrest, 1992; Schols and Eloranta, 1992; Piironen and Eloranta, 1995, 1996].

The current study reports on the use of a lidar system for observations of the PBL structure and the generation and evolution of plumes of sea salt aerosol generated by waves breaking in the surf zone, and at elevated wind speed also at open sea. The measurements were made during campaigns undertaken in September 1998 and in June 1999 at the Mace Head Atmospheric Research station at the western coast of Ireland. They were undertaken in support of studies of the formation of new particles at coastal sites due to tidal-related nucleation events, in the framework of the EU-funded New Particle Formation and Fate in the Coastal Environment (PARFORCE) project [O’Dowd, 1999].

2. Experiment

An extensive suite of aerosol, gas and meteorological measurements was deployed during the PARFORCE experimental campaigns [cf. O’Dowd, 2002a, 2002b] for an overview). This section provides descriptions of the lidar. Other instrumentation used for the analysis of the lidar data, in particular of boundary layer profiles near Mace Head onboard the Meteorological Research Flight Hercules C-130 is described by O’Dowd [1999].

2.1. Experimental Location

The Mace Head Atmospheric Research Station (cf. Jennings et al., 1991, 1998) or McGovern et al. [1996] for detailed descriptions) is located on the western coast of Ireland (53° 20’N, 09° 54’W), see the map in Figure 1. In the immediate vicinity there are four small and uninhabited islands in the bay near the station: South Macdara’s Island at 2.5 km offshore, Croaghankeela at 4.3 km, Illaunagroagh More at 3.8 km and Illaunagroagh Beg at 4.2 km. The area of the islands varies between 0.1 km² and 1.0 km².

The western coast of Ireland is quite inhomogeneous [O’Dowd, 2002a, 2002b] with Mace Head Atmospheric Research Station located on a peninsula. This midlatitude station is subject to prevailing westerly winds associated with the easterly tracking cyclonic systems of the North Atlantic. Hence the station is usually exposed to maritime air which is considered representative of background North Atlantic marine air.

2.2. Backscatter Lidar Measurements

Lidar is a widely used remote sensing technique for atmospheric research [see e.g., Collis, 1966; Measures, 1984]. A lidar consists in principle of a pulsed laser and an optical receiver, with associated electronics. The laser pulse is emitted into the atmosphere and laser light back-
scattered by aerosol particles and molecules is received with a telescope that focuses the light onto a photo detector. The time-resolved detector signal is recorded with a digital oscilloscope and further processed. Because the laser pulse is short with a small cross-section, very small volume sections along the laser path in the atmosphere are consecutively probed.

[9] During PARFORCE a so-called biaxial, quasi-monostatic backscatter lidar with direct detection was used to measure profiles of the atmospheric volume backscatter coefficient. The terms “biaxial” and “quasi-monostatic” imply that the transmitter and the receiver are geometrically separated but built together in a compact unit.

[10] The transmitter in the lidar used during the PARFORCE 1999 experiments was a 1.06 µm laser (Quantel Brilliant) with an energy of 200 mJ in a pulse with a duration of 8 ns, and a maximum repetition rate of 20 Hz (during PARFORCE 1998, a 20 mJ, 4 Hz system was used). The short-term stability (over several minutes) of this laser is of the order of 0.1% and the long term stability (over the hours) is of the order of 2%. The 8 ns laser pulse can provide a spatial resolution of 1.2 m. The receiver was a telescope with a diameter of 20 cm and an avalanche photodiode in the focal plane with a dark noise level of about 0.5 nW and a signal bandwidth of 30 MHz. The separation between the axes of the laser and the receiver was 25 cm, which implies that the scattering volume at short distances from the lidar cannot be completely observed by the receiver. Hence the lidar signal is only reliable after a certain range, the crossover range. For the TNO lidar the cross over range is about 200 m. A commercial 100 MHz analogue logarithmic amplifier was used between the analogue detector output and the transient recorder to suppress the dynamic range of the signal amplitude. The output of this amplifier was fed into an 8 bits, 200 MHz analogue to digital converter and stored for off-line processing.

[11] The components in the lidar system are calibrated on a regular base. The laser stability, mentioned above, is 2%, the uncertainty due to the detector is 10%, the pre-and log amplifier each have an uncertainty of 5%, the uncertainty in the transmission optical elements is 20%, in the A/D converter 5%. This leads to an overall uncertainty in the calibration, i.e., the statistical sum of the individual errors, of 20%.

[12] Eye safety was seriously considered, among others, by calculating the safe distance of the lidar following the rules given by the European standard International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) 825 [IEC, 1984] and the American standard ANSI Z136 [American National Standards Institute, 1993], and by continuously monitoring the area by the operator and with a video system. The safe distances for a 220 mJ system are 2.0 km for single shot exposure, 2.4 km for 0.25 second exposure, 3.8 km for 1 second exposure and 10 km for 30 min exposure (calculated with ETI BeamSafe, a commercial program based on the ANSI Z136). The system was not operated when ships, aircraft or people were in the direct vicinity of the lidar.

### 2.2.1. Signal Processing and Data Presentation

[13] The signal received from beyond the crossover range is proportional to the atmospheric volume backscatter coefficient, as well as to the energy of the emitted light pulse and the area of the receiver. For relatively clean atmospheres the lidar is commonly described by the single scatter lidar model:

\[
P(R) = E \frac{c}{2 \pi R^2} T_{opt} \beta(R) e^{-\frac{R}{c \alpha(R)dz}} + n'(R) + O',
\]

where:
- \(P(R)\) = received power as a function of range in W;
- \(R\) = range in m;
- \(E\) = energy of the laser pulse in J;
- \(A\) = area of the receiver in m²;
- \(T_{opt}\) = transmission of the optics [-];
- \(c\) = speed of light in m s⁻¹;
- \(\alpha(R)\) = spatial volume extinction coefficient in m⁻¹;
- \(\beta(R)\) = spatial volume backscatter coefficient in m⁻¹ sr⁻¹;
- \(n'(R)\) = signal noise expressed in terms of W;
- \(O'\) = signal offset expressed in terms of W.

[14] Equation (1) only applies to ranges larger than the crossover range and for ranges which are large compared to the physical length of the laser pulse. The volume backscatter and extinction coefficients are atmospheric parameters that are determined by the properties of the atmospheric targets (aerosols and molecules).

[15] Correction of the lidar signal, equation (1), for signal offset \(O'\), geometric attenuation \((1/R^2)\) and application of the values for the physical constant \((c)\) and for the system factors \((E, A, T_{opt})\) results in \(S(R)\), further referred to as the attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter profile:

\[
S(R) = \beta(R) e^{-\frac{R}{c \alpha(R)dz}} + n(R)
\]

where:
- \(n(R)\) = remaining noise term in the signal in m⁻¹ sr⁻¹.

[16] The first term on the right-hand side of equation (2) describes the volume backscatter profile, attenuated by the path integrated extinction coefficient. Note that geometric correction implies that also the noise term is multiplied by \(R^2\) and thus increases with range.

[17] Neither the backscatter profile nor the extinction profile can a priori be inverted from equation (2). Commonly, a second relation between the backscatter and the extinction coefficient is assumed to derive an integral equation in either of the two quantities. Solving for this equation requires a boundary condition, i.e., the extinction coefficient at a certain range [see e.g., Hitschfeld and Bordan, 1954; Klett, 1981; Kunz, 1983; Kovalev, 1995] or the transmission losses over a certain range interval [see e.g., Fernald et al., 1972; Weinman, 1988; Kunz, 1996]. (Other methods have been proposed in the last decades [see e.g., Potter, 1987; Ackermann, 1997; Kunz, 1999], but no general solution is available.) Because such extra information is not available, in this contribution the lidar results will be presented in terms of the system-independent atmospheric attenuated volume backscatter profiles \(S(R)\) by equation (2) such as proposed by Platt [1979]. When the atmospheric extinction is negligible, they are equivalent to the backscatter profiles.

[18] The lidar data is presented in false color, using a color scale ranging from purple for small values of \(S\) to red for large values, following the order of colors in the rainbow. Upper and lower limits vary for each case to provide optimum contrast. Very low values are represented in black.
and white represents values larger than the maximum value of the selected scale.

The gradual change in color with increasing distance from the lidar is not only an atmospheric effect but might also be caused by cross talk from the intense currents in the laser power supply into the very sensitive electronics of the detector unit.

2.2.2. Lidar Operational Modes

During the PARFORCE experiments, the TNO backscatter lidar was mounted in a van that was parked near the shoreline at a height of about 8 m above mean sea level. From this position, the lidar had a free field of view over the ocean in a sector of about 130°. A computer-controlled platform with an adjustable scan speed from 0.5° to 75° per second was used to scan the lidar in azimuth and elevation.

Different types of measurements were carried out during PARFORCE: (1) in a fixed horizontal direction, parallel and perpendicular to the wind, (2) under a fixed elevation angle, (3) horizontal scans and (4) vertical scans either parallel or perpendicular to the wind. After processing, the results were presented in false color images where the atmospheric attenuated volume backscatter coefficient is color-coded. Data from horizontal scans, measured in a polar coordinate system, were transformed to a rectangular coordinate system and projected as plane position indicators (PPI) images over a map of Mac Head and environment. In a similar way, data from the vertical scans were transformed to range to height indicators (RHI) images. To assure that every pixel in the Cartesian coordinate system was filled, each pixel was projected to the nearest measured data point in the polar coordinate system. Redundant data points at short ranges were skipped and missing data points at larger ranges were filled using a zero-order interpolation routine. The data presented in the following sections were measured with a radial resolution of 12 m (data sampling interval of 80 ns) and an angular resolution of better than 0.1°.

2.3. Airborne Measurements

Airborne measurements, undertaken with the U.K. Meteorological Research Flight C-130 Hercules, and aimed at exploring the spatial scales of coastal nucleation events, were conducted over 4 days overlapping with the intensive field campaign in 1999. The aircraft was equipped with a broad range of instrumentation [cf. O’Dowd, 1999] of which the vertical variations of the temperature and humidity are relevant for comparison with the lidar results. Detailed descriptions of most instruments are given, for example, by Rogers et al. [1995] and Martín et al. [1997].

3. Results

3.1. Boundary Layer Structure

The primary objective for using the lidar during the PARFORCE experiments was to provide the depth and evolution of the atmospheric boundary layer. The heights of the boundary layer and cloud bases were estimated from lidar measurements at fixed elevation as well as from vertical scans with the lidar. In this study we define the PBL height as the height of the inversion level separating the free troposphere (FT) from the boundary layer. In cloud-free situations, a strong aerosol gradient usually occurs across the inversion, with lower concentrations in the FT. Hence the top of the PBL was determined from the lidar measurements as the height where a sudden decrease in the lidar signal strength occurred. Alternative definitions are given by, e.g., Melfi et al. [1985], Hooper and Eloranta [1986], and Steyn et al. [1999].

Often various layers can be discerned in the lower few kilometers of the atmosphere. Since during PARFORCE most gas and aerosol measurements were made close to the surface from 10 m and 22 m high towers, the Layer Adjacent to the Surface (referred to as LAS in the following) is of particular importance. An example is shown in section 3 (second vertical scan), where the LAS exists within the PBL, and it is the height of the LAS that is presented when multiple layers were observed. The layers are detected by virtue of variations in aerosol concentrations between them, and thus differences in the attenuated backscatter coefficient. The layers may be residual layers, resulting from the formation of internal boundary layers with different thermodynamic structure, or the formation of nocturnal boundary layers [cf. Stull, 1988, section 1.6]. Under the influence of turbulent mixing, the layers may rise and merge; thus the structure may disappear during the day and a single extended layer may result. The detailed analysis of the physics leading to multilayered structures is outside the scope of this paper, which is focused on lidar observations of these phenomena. Figure 2 shows that large variations can occur during the day with often a low surface layer inversion present during the morning increasing in depth throughout the day.

3.1.1. Fixed Elevation Angle Mode

The usefulness of the lidar for determining boundary layer height in a single location, but during extended periods of time, is illustrated in Figure 3 with data from the 1998 PARFORCE experiment for Julian day (JD) 267 (24 September 1998). On this day, the lidar was operated under a fixed elevation angle of 34°, thus allowing acquiring data on the vertical structure of the boundary layer from a height of 100 m. The maximum height from which data were obtained with this elevation angle and the time base resolution used, was 800 m. Data were collected every hour during 5 min. Measurements were started at 0734 UTC and continued until 1845 UTC. The results in Figure 3 illustrate the short-term variability in structure along with significant changes over periods of hours. During the first measurement (0734 UTC), the PBL inversion level was of the order of 300 m above sea level, but also indicating variability of the order of 50 m in the vertical. At this time, the PBL appears decoupled into two layers, with the LAS situated in the lower 150–200 m. Note further the increase in the attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter coefficient with height, which could possibly be ascribed to increasing humidity resulting in particle growth. The next measurement, at 0808 UTC, shows much lower attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter coefficients, and a transparent layer over a 200–250 m deep LAS in which the aerosol particles are poorly mixed. By 0952 UTC this situation has changed and the attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter profile indicates a PBL inversion at a height increased to about 600 m, with a complex internal structure and significantly different aerosol content. The plume structure in the LAS indicates convective mixing. At 1007 UTC a convective boundary layer is observed with plumes originating at
the lowest level that could reliably be observed with the lidar. White patches at the top indicate high attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter coefficients, likely due to the onset of cloud formation. The convective structure is more pronounced by 1107 UTC, with very clear plume structures. Between 1300 and 1400 UTC these convective plumes are seen to rise up to at least the maximum height of 800 m observed by the lidar on this day. Large variability occurs over short timescales. In the measurement at 1504 UTC, clouds are prominent and the attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter coefficients increase up to cloud base. However, as the clouds mask the upper layers, no conclusion on the PBL depth can be taken from this plot except when there are wholes in the cloud deck. By 1650 UTC, when convection had subsided, the plumes appear smaller and the top of the convective layer has decreased down to approximately 400 m.

3.1.2. Vertical Scanning Mode

While the fixed elevation mode allows continuous measurements of PBL evolution at a single location, the vertical scanning mode allows one to determine the instantaneous two-dimensional structure of the PBL over horizontal distances of up to 10 km. Examples of boundary layer structure over a 5 km spatial scale are illustrated in Figures 4a and 5a. The figures show vertical scan results.
Figure 3. Boundary layer evolution on JD 267 (24 September 1998) between 0734 and 1845 UTC. Shown are time series, each recorded during 5 min, of attenuated atmospheric backscatter profiles $S(R)$ (in m$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$) (equation (2)), in a set of 13 images. The start time is indicated on the top of each figure. The values of the attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter coefficients are indicated by the color, with a scale for log $[S(R)]$ ranging from $-7.1$ (purple) to $-5.1$ (red) following the order of the colors in the rainbow. Black refers to values of log $[S(R)]$ smaller than $-7.1 \log(m^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1})$, and white refers to values larger than $-5.1 \log(m^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1})$. Vertical scale: 500 m/div. The signal below about 100 m is attenuated due to the crossover function of the lidar (see section 2.2).
Figure 4. Boundary layer structure from lidar and airplane measurements on JD 174 (23 June 1999). (a) Vertical lidar scan at 1106 UTC. Horizontal and vertical scales are 1000 m/div and 500 m/div. The attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter coefficients are color coded, with a scale for log $[S(R)]$ ranging from $-7.0$ (purple) to $-5.6$ (red) following the order of the colors in the rainbow. Black refers to values of log $[S(R)]$ smaller than $-7.0$, and white refers to values larger than $-5.6$ log(m$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$). (b) Profiles of temperature (T, in K), potential temperature (PT, in K), specific humidity (Q, in g kg$^{-1}$), virtual potential temperature (VPT, in K) and relative humidity (RH, in %) measured with the U.K. Meteorological Research Flight Hercules C-130 near Mace Head between 1204 and 1214 UTC.
Figure 5. As Figure 4 for JD 176 (25 June 1999). Meteorological Research Flight Hercules C-130 measurements were made between 1204 and 1213 UTC; the LIDAR scan was made at 1206 UTC.
from an elevation angle of +36° down to −5° and only lidar data falling within the frame of the chosen window are presented in the figures. The first part of the lidar data (the dark segment in left corner of the triangle) are from within the crossover range interval of the lidar system, as explained in section 2.2. The beginning of the dark segment below the first horizontal range indicator is the sea surface. Structure near the sea surface at ranges between 4.2 and 4.7 km are discussed below (this structure is also observed in Figures 6 and 7).

Figure 4a shows a relatively well-mixed boundary layer ending in a cloud deck at a height of about 600 m, identified from the high scattering indicated by the white...
area. Due to the presence of the closed cloud deck it is not possible to determine the depth of the PBL. Just below the cloud the attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter coefficient increases due to increasing relative humidity causing cloud particle growth. The lidar signal vanishes rapidly inside the cloud due to extinction. From levels higher than 650–700 m no signal is received and the layer is colored black.

Figure 5a shows a layered structure up to a capping inversion just below 1500 m where the lidar signal vanishes abruptly. At least 8 layers are visible, with a very shallow LAS in the lower 50–100 m.

3.1.3. Comparison With In Situ Measurements

Independent measurements of the structure of the lower atmospheric boundary layer are available from the C-130 airborne measurements (section 2.3). It is noted that simultaneous collocated lidar and airborne measurements were not possible because the lidar is not eye safe and could not be used when the aircraft was within 10 km from the station. Additionally, it is impossible to take vertical profiles at one location from an aircraft due to it being a moving platform. Typically, for every 1000 m covered in the vertical, this vertical extent corresponds to 30 km in the horizontal. Results presented from the in situ and lidar measurements were obtained within one hour or less.

The vertical variation of potential temperature and specific humidity in Figure 4b are textbook examples of a well-mixed atmosphere capped by a strong inversion. The figure shows a very well-mixed boundary layer up to cloud base at 650–700 m, and very strong temperature and humidity gradients across the capping inversion at about 900 m. The relative humidity data indicate a cloud with a thickness of 100–150 m. The layered structures, observed by the lidar and shown in Figure 4a, and the C-130 data, shown in Figure 4b are similar at the lowest altitudes, although the lidar observation indicates a somewhat lower cloud base.

Also the second example, Figure 5b, shows a complex multilayered structure in good agreement with the lidar measurements. The measured vertical variations of potential temperature and specific humidity clearly show the atmospheric structure with a well-mixed layer near the surface up to about 100 m, above which the potential temperature continues to increase up to about 400 m. The latter indicates stable stratification that reduces vertical mixing. Hence the specific humidity is variable in this layer, and also the attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter coefficients show some structure. Between 400 and 800 m both the potential temperature and the specific humidity are constant; that is, the layer is well mixed. The relative humidity increases with altitude, and due to particle growth also the attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter coefficients increase up to a maximum at about 850–900 m. Similarly, also at higher altitudes concomitant variations in potential...
3.2. Production and Dispersion of Locally Generated Aerosol Plumes

3.2.1. Spatial Distributions of Aerosol Particles: Dispersion of Plumes Produced by Breaking Waves

[a] Spatial distributions of aerosol particles were studied by scanning the lidar in a horizontal plane over the water in westerly directions from 210° to 340° (angles measured with respect to north). After processing, the results were projected over the map of Mace Head. Examples of such scans are presented in Figure 6. The lidar was situated on the right-hand side in the origin of the equidistant circle segments indicating the range to the lidar. The colors in this figure correspond, as before, to the value of the attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter coefficient \(S(R)\) as defined in equation (2). The white patches in the figure are caused by strong reflections of the laser light from the main land (to the north) and from the islands in the bay. Dark areas behind the islands indicate the regions from where no atmospheric signal can be received due to blocking of the laser pulse by the island structure. The difference in color between shadowed and adjacent nonshadowed areas thus indicates the scattering from atmospheric aerosol particles and molecules.

[b] Figure 6a illustrates plumes encountered on JD 172 of 1999 (21 June) under southwesterly airflow, wind speed was 4 m s\(^{-1}\). Very prominent are the aerosol plumes produced in the surf at the shorelines of Croaghnakeela (the large island 4 km to the west of Mace Head) and the two Illaunagroagh islands approximately 1 km north of Croaghnakeela. These plumes are about 2 km wide and can be followed to the edge of the scan, i.e., over a distance of at least 5 km. Also the aerosol plumes generated by waves breaking on Scerd Rocks at distances between 7 and 10 km southwest of Mace Head are clearly visible, over a distance of at least 4 km. The value of the attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter inside the plume, at a distance of about 500 m from this island, is enhanced by about a factor of three.

[c] Magnified detail of the plumes around Croaghnakeela is shown in Figure 6b. This image contains not merely enlargements, but represents real data. Figure 6b clearly shows that the plume is generated over the whole width of the island and is composed of many smaller plumes. The intensities of the light backscattered from the plumes decrease with distance from the source, indicating that the concentrations of the aerosol particles decrease. This is mainly due to vertical dispersion of the aerosol particles, as discussed below based on evidence from vertical scans.

[d] The generation of such intense aerosol plumes in the surf of the islands west of Mace Head appears to be no exception, at least based on the frequency of observation during the two PARFORCE experiments. Other examples are presented in Figures 6c and 6d, for different wind situations. Figure 6c shows results that were obtained in NW wind with a speed of 3 m s\(^{-1}\). The appearance of the plumes in Figure 6c is quite different from that of the plumes shown in Figures 6a and 6d. Likely this is caused by differences in the direction and energy of the waves, which affect the resulting surf or, alternatively, atmospheric stratification which influences plume dispersion. At least five plumes with a length of more than 4 km can be distinguished from Croaghnakela. The plumes from the Illaunagroagh islands are observed over a horizontal distance of at least 5 km. Also the coastline of the main land produces much aerosol. Furthermore, intensive plumes are observed to originate from the rocks southwest of Mace Head, at distances between 8 and 10 km. On this day, the attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter coefficient inside the plumes was enhanced with respect to the background by approximately one order of magnitude. The values of the attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter coefficient inside the plume decrease slowly with distance to the source due to vertical dispersion of the aerosol. At a distance of 2 km downwind, the enhancement with respect to the background is a factor 5 and at 4 km downwind from the generation region, the attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter coefficient inside the plume is only about a factor of two higher than the background value.

[e] The results presented in Figure 6d were obtained on JD 178 of 1999 (27 June) in westerly wind with a speed of 8 m s\(^{-1}\). The attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter coefficients inside the plumes are similar to previously observed values, but the “background” attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter coefficients are higher due to the surface production of sea spray aerosol by breaking wind waves. The value of the attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter coefficient inside the plume at 500 m from the island is about a factor of 2.5 enhanced with respect to the background. At 4 km downwind from the source the attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter coefficients is reduced to half this value.

[f] Another feature observed in Figure 6d are plumes originating from breaking wind waves, visible as very narrow and slightly lighter colored lines. They are visible over several kilometers.

3.2.2. Vertical Dispersion of Aerosol Plumes

[g] The vertical dispersion of freshly generated plumes of sea spray aerosol was frequently observed during RHI operation of the lidar and is illustrated in Figure 7. Two examples are shown, of the attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter coefficients in vertical planes between Mace Head and Croaghnakeela (identified by the white spot caused by reflection from the south-coast of the island), respectively over the south point and the center of the island. These figures are based on data such as shown in Figure 4, but to better visualize processes near the sea surface the horizontal and vertical scales are magnified.
In Figure 7a, aerosol plumes, which were presumably generated in the surf on the west side of Croaghnakeela and advected across the island, are visible above and downwind (east) from the island. Apart from these advected plumes, also other, lower, plumes are visible on the down wind side. The explanation for the different plume heights could be that the lower plumes are generated by surf on the down wind side of the island due to refracted waves. This explanation is supported by the results from the vertical scan over the highest point of Croaghnakeela presented in Figure 7b. These show very clearly that plumes are generated at the down wind side of the island, with initial heights of 20–30 m, growing to almost 300 m over a horizontal distance of 2 km. The atmospheric attenuated volume backscatter coefficient inside the plume is about a factor of 1.5 enhanced with respect to the background.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

The primary reason for the use of the lidar during PARFORCE experiments was to determine the depth of the boundary layers. The PBL structure was observed to vary from a single layer well-mixed structure to multilayered structure in which the LAS height was observed to vary from 100 m to more than 2000 m. Often the PBL depth would initially decrease in the morning and increase in the afternoon. At times, the boundary layer height was observed to increase from about 600 m to 1200 m over a period of a few hours. One-dimensional profiling indicated significant variation in PBL height over timescales of tens of seconds.

The structure of the PBL determined from the lidar data compares reasonably with available airborne data. Examples have been presented showing the similarity between backscatter structure and the structure determined from temperature and humidity measurements. It gives credit to the lidar measurements that the meteorological features, e.g., the complex boundary layer structure with multiple separated layers, are observed both in the lidar and in the meteorological profiles. This confirms earlier reports [e.g., Melfi et al., 1989; Carnuth and Trickl], on the similarity in PBL height over timescales of tens of seconds.

The influence of the background renders it difficult to quantify the effect of locally generated plumes on the concentrations at Mace Head in relation to wind direction and wind speed. In particular because during transport from the islands to the Mace Head station, vertical dispersion causes dilution of the primary aerosol, which brings the concentrations close to background levels. Hence the influence on the concentrations measured at Mace Head is relatively small, if any. An attempt to quantify this effect from direct measurements of aerosol concentrations at Mace Head, based on differences in concentrations between selected wind directions, showed no clear correlation. Also there was no obvious concentration enhancement when the wind was from the directions of the islands. At low wind speed, the concentrations of super-micron aerosol particles at Mace Head exhibited variability of not more than a factor of 2, and less in elevated wind speeds, although this cannot be directly linked to the offshore plumes. The concentrations of sub-micron aerosol particles are not affected [Kleefeld et al., 2002], in good agreement with other studies of surf aerosols [de Leeuw et al., 2000].

The observations as regards the initial plume heights and their further evolution is in good agreement with other observations of aerosol plumes generated in the surf zone at beaches on the east and west coasts of the USA. Lidar studies undertaken at Wallops Island by Hooper and Martin [1999] show initial plume heights of circa 25 m, in a wind speed of 5 m s$^{-1}$. Similar results from later experiments, over the surf zone in Duck (North Carolina, USA), were well reproduced by an aerosol transport model [Vignati, 1999; Vignati et al., 2001]. This model used results from aerosol measurements over the surf zone by de Leeuw et al. [2000] on Scripps Pier in La Jolla (San Diego, California, USA). The analysis of these measurements showed that the maximum height attained by the surf-produced aerosol is about 20 m at very low wind speeds, and more than 50 m at wind speeds approaching 9 m s$^{-1}$. These results compare favorably with lidar observations of the surf produced aerosol in offshore wind during the same experiment [Gathman and Smith, 1997].

Also the increase of the aerosol concentrations and the attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter coefficients inside the plumes, with respect to background values, compare reasonably well with results reported in the literature. The lidar measurements by Hooper and Martin [1999] show backscatter increases over the surf of two orders of magnitude, and the analysis of aerosol measurements by de Leeuw et al. [2000] reveal an increase of the concentrations over the surf zone by up to two orders of magnitude. These numbers apply for measurements directly over or in the immediate vicinity of the surf zone, whereas the current measurements were made hundreds of meters downwind from the surf zone where the concentrations, and
thus also the attenuated atmospheric backscatter coefficients, were significantly diminished.

The relatively constant values of the attenuated atmospheric volume backscatter coefficients inside the plumes near the source, as compared to the wind speed dependent background values, are a clear indication of the different processes that lead to the generation of sea spray aerosol. At open sea the breaking intensity of wind waves increases with wind speed, whereas the energy in the waves breaking at the coastline, islands and rocks is mainly determined by swell that is generated by remote wind fields.
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