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**Abstract**

**Introduction:** Social cognitive dysfunction, including deficits in facial emotion recognition and theory of mind, is a core feature of schizophrenia, and more strongly predicts functional outcome than neurocognition alone. Although traditionally considered to play an important role in motor coordination, the cerebellum has been suggested to play a role in emotion processing and theory of mind, and also shows structural and functional abnormalities in schizophrenia. The aim of this systematic review was to investigate the specific role of the cerebellum in emotion and theory of mind deficits in schizophrenia using previously published functional neuroimaging studies.

**Methods:** PubMed and PsycINFO were used to search for all functional neuroimaging studies reporting altered cerebellum activity in schizophrenia patients during emotion processing or theory of mind tasks, published until December 2014.

**Results:** Overall, 14 functional neuroimaging studies were retrieved. Most emotion studies reported lower cerebellum activity in schizophrenia patients relative to healthy controls. In contrast, the theory of mind studies reported mixed findings. Altered activity was observed across several posterior cerebellar regions involved in emotion and cognition.

**Discussion:** Weaker cerebellum activity in schizophrenia patients relative to healthy controls during emotion processing may contribute to blunted affect and reduced ability to recognise emotion in others. This research could be expanded by examining the relationship between cerebellum function, symptomatology and behaviour, and examining cerebellum functional connectivity in patients during emotion and theory of mind tasks.
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**Introduction**

Social cognition consists of processes that enable people to perceive and process information about themselves and other people (1). These processes underpin social skills used every day, such as recognising emotions from people’s faces or understanding what other people are thinking. These skills are essential in daily life, for example understanding that another person is upset or that certain types of behaviour may be seen as inappropriate.

Impairments in social cognition are a core feature of schizophrenia (2) and are stronger predictors of functional outcomes in employment, relationships and independent living than neurocognition alone (3). Similarly, efforts to ameliorate social cognitive deficits are likely to significantly improve patient quality of life and social functioning. Thus, social cognition has emerged as a potential target for developing new treatments, both pharmacological and cognitive, aimed at improving clinical outcomes.

Given that many pharmaceutical companies have reduced investment in drug development for psychiatric disorders due to their perceived complexity (4), elucidating the biological mechanisms underlying specific aspects of schizophrenia-related disability is a core priority for the field (e.g. as reflected in the NIMH Research Domain Criteria initiative (RdoC; 5). Social cognition, described as ‘systems for social processes’, is one of the six domains that have been selected as a potentially tractable avenue of progress towards the goals of identifying (a) specific treatment targets (e.g. molecular pathways), (b) translational models to test the effects of particular therapies, and (c) biomarkers for more accurate diagnosis.

In understanding the neural mechanisms of social cognition, functional neuroimaging has a key role in identifying specific brain regions and networks disrupted in schizophrenia patients during social information processing. Combining imaging data with genetic and/or environmental data can, additionally, help elucidate molecular and social risk factors affecting the brain (6, 7). One brain region that has been reported in a number of schizophrenia studies to be activated during social cognitive performance is the cerebellum, a brain region more traditionally associated with aspects of motor function. This review discusses the possible contribution of the cerebellum to social cognition in schizophrenia, examining whether this brain region may play a role in the abnormal processing of two components of social information - emotion recognition and theory of mind - characteristic of the disorder (8).

Facial emotion

Facial emotion recognition is important for correctly inferring the emotional state of another person, an important type of non-verbal communication. In schizophrenia, meta-analysis of neuropsychological studies suggests that patients show large deficits in facial emotion recognition, deficits that are associated with differences in functional outcomes such as community functioning (2, 9). Facial emotion recognition deficits are thought to arise due to impaired emotion processing, which also behaviourally manifests as flattened affect, a core feature of the disorder (10, 11). Although patients report normal ratings of experience of emotion, they show reduced emotional expression and reduced emotion perception in response to emotional stimuli, including during social interactions (12). This could contribute to a decreased ability to recognise certain facial expressions and understand how others are feeling.

Theory of mind

Theory of mind (also known as mentalising) is the cognitive ability required to attribute mental states to oneself and to other people (1, 13). Unlike facial emotion recognition, theory of mind also involves processing of spatial and contextual information rather than perception of direct emotional signals alone (14). Although adult reasoning about the mental states of others can be complex and likely draws on other cognitive processes such as attention and language, theory of mind is nonetheless thought to develop from simpler, automatic cognitive processes such as the detection of goals and agency in moving shapes that are observed in infants as young as six and a half months old (15). Meta-analysis of neuropsychological studies suggests that schizophrenia patients generally show significant deficits in theory of mind, and impaired mentalising is a stronger predictor of functional outcome than other social cognitive measures (3).

Other cognitive deficits associated with schizophrenia

Patients with schizophrenia consistently present with a wide variatey of percetual and cognitive impairments, including general cognitive decline, memory deficits, and deficits in working memory and attention control often referred to more generallu as ‘executive’ deficits. Collectively, these deficits significantly predict functional outcome over and above the so-called ‘positive’ and ‘negative’ clinical symptoms (16-18). Of these ‘executive’ functions, working memory (which allows people to maintain, store and manipulate information over short periods; 19), appears to be particularly correlated with decline in both general cognitive ability, and difficulties with other specific cognitive abilities, including reasoning, problem-solving and learning (20-24).

The role of the cerebellum in emotion and theory of mind

A prevailing view for many years held that the exclusive role of the cerebellum was to collect information from multiple cortical areas and feed this information to the primary motor cortex to coordinate movement (25, 26). However, anatomical, neurological, and neuroimaging studies have challenged this idea.

For example, cerebellar damage from trauma, tumours, infection or stroke has been associated with cognitive and emotional deficits in addition to motor problems (27, 28). Clinically defined as Schmahmann’s syndrome (and also known as cerebellar cognitive affective syndrome (CCAS)), these deficits include impaired working memory, reasoning and planning, flattened affect and inappropriate behaviour (29-30). These deficits are consistent with both social-emotional deficits observed in schizophrenia and with cognitive deficits observed outside of the social emotional area (e.g. deficits in executive function).

Schmahmann’s syndrome is more frequently associated with lesions to the posterior lobe of the cerebellum (including Lobules VI to IX, Crus I and Crus II, and the midline vermis), suggesting a particularly important role for posterior regions in executive function, social cognition and emotion (30, 31). These clinical findings are supported by functional neuroimaging studies which report cerebellar activation in different but overlapping regions associated with sensory, cognitive and emotion processing. For example, activation likelihood estimation (ALE) meta-analysis of 53 fMRI studies by Stoodley and Schmahmann (32) reported activation in lobules VI - VIII and Crus I associated with working memory, other executive functions, and emotion processing. In contrast, sensory processing was also associated with activation in lobule V. More recently, ALE meta-analysis of over 350 fMRI studies by Van Overwalle and colleagues (8) suggests that posterior cerebellar regions are active during theory of mind (including Lobules VI and VII). Van Overwalle et al. (33) later examined these findings in light of functional connectivity analysis of the cerebellum by Buckner et al. (38), revealing that the cerebellum regions involved in mentalising overlap with regions correlating with default mode activity.

Finally, Riedel et al. (34) performed two meta-analyses examining (a) co-activation of the cerebellum with cortical regions, and (b) association of cerebellar activation with cognitive/emotional processes, using published neuroimaging data from the BrainMap database. Supporting previous findings, activation across lobules VII - VIII, Crus I and II was associated both with co-activation in the prefrontal cortex and with executive processing. However, activation across lobules I - IV was associated with emotion processing, suggesting that activation in anterior regions may play a role in emotion also.

Further highlighting a role for the cerebellum in social cognition, individuals with cerebellar stroke show reduced amygdala and medial prefrontal activity during emotion processing (35), and resting-state fMRI reveals that neural activity in other cortical regions important for social cognition and emotion correlate with activity in lobules VI and VII, suggesting communication between these regions during rest (36-38). In particular, Buckner et al. (38) reported that a substantial portion of the cerebellum, including Crus I and Crus II, shows correlations with the default mode network, which plays a critical role in theory of mind.

In patients with schizophrenia, functional neuroimaging studies have reported reduced cerebellar activity during memory processing (39, 40), including working memory (41), and finger-tapping (42), suggesting this structure might contribute to working memory and executive deficits observed in the disorder. During rest, lower cerebellar metabolism (43) and weaker functional connectivity between the cerebellum and prefrontal cortex has also been observed (44). Functional connectivity can be described as the temporal correlation of neural activity between two or more separate brain areas, and is thought to indicate communication between different parts of the brain (45).

Post-mortem studies of schizophrenia patients report 8.3% decreased size of inhibitory Purkinje cells in the cerebellar vermis (46), while structural imaging studies report reduced white matter integrity of tracts connecting the cerebellum to cortical regions (47, 48), and reduced volume of the cerebellum relative to healthy controls, which also predicts negative psychosocial outcomes (49).

Given the evidence that (a) emotion processing and theory of mind are affected in schizophrenia, (b) the cerebellum shows increased activity during emotion and theory of mind tasks, and (c) cerebellum activity and structure is altered in schizophrenia, we sought to systematically review all previously published functional imaging studies that report altered cerebellum activity in schizophrenia during emotion processing or theory of mind tasks in order to examine the possible contribution of this structure towards emotion and/or theory of mind deficits in the disorder.

**Materials and methods**

Using Pubmed and PsycINFO we searched for all functional neuroimaging studies reporting altered cerebellum activity in schizophrenia patients during emotion or theory of mind tasks. Studies published until December 2014 were searched for with the following search term: “(schizophrenia OR schiz\*) AND cerebellum AND (emotion OR theory of mind) AND (functional magnetic resonance imaging OR positron emission tomography OR single photon emission computed tomography OR electroencephalography OR magnetoencephalography OR fMRI OR PET OR SPECT OR EEG OR MEG) NOT Review”. This resulted in 22 studies being identified in total. Seven studies were excluded as they were part of the Molecular Imaging and Contrast Agent Database (an online database containing information about molecular imaging and contrast agents), three studies were excluded as they used structural imaging and/or transcranial magnetic stimulation and thus did not directly examine cerebellar activity during emotion or theory of mind, and one study was excluded as it did not examine patients with schizophrenia. Thus, eleven original studies matched study criteria. This literature search was supplemented with a review of the references from each of the papers identified. This additional search retrieved a further three studies matching criteria. In total, 14 studies meeting search criteria were retrieved.

In each study identified, altered cerebellar activity was observed in a whole-brain analysis (i.e. no *a priori* cerebellum regions of interest were reported). Seven of the 14 studies reported Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale scores (PANSS) (50-56), four studies reported Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms (SAPS) and Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS) scores (57-60), one study reported Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) and SANS scores (61), one study reported BPRS scores only (62), and one study did not report symptom severity (63).

Similarly, ten studies reported antipsychotic dosages, either reported as chlorpromazine equivalent in mg per day (57, 50, 51, 52, 53) or reported by listing dosage in mg of each antipsychotic separately (54, 61, 55, 62, 56). Three studies reported that all patients were either drug naïve or medication free for the three weeks prior to scanning (58-60) and one study did not report presence or absence of medication (63).

In **table 1** and **2**, we present for each study clusters showing significant differences in cerebellum activity when groups of interest were compared (e.g. patients versus controls, male patients versus female patients). In some studies, no significant effects were reported between groups, or groups were not compared directly; in these studies, we list any cerebellum findings reported in one group only. For each of these clusters, MNI (Montreal Neurological Institute) coordinates of significant maxima were entered into the Anatomy toolbox in SPM 8 (64-66) and anatomical regions (with associated probability estimates) were identified using the AllAreas\_v18\_MPM atlas, which contains the Probabilistic Atlas of the Human Cerebellum developed by Diedrichsen and colleagues (67). Where coordinates were initially presented in Talairach space, these were converted to MNI space using GingerALE 2.1 software (‘Talairach to MNI (SPM)’ transform) (68-69), and rounded to the nearest whole number. MNI coordinates of significant maxima for (a) emotion studies and (b) theory of mind studies were then plotted on a standard brain template in SPM 8 to show the distribution of these maxima across left and right hemispheres (**figure 1**).

Next, we used the Activation Likelihood Estimation (ALE) method in GingerALE to perform a meta-analysis, combining coordinates from (a) each of the emotion studies and (b) each of the theory of mind studies, in order to examine whether any clusters showed consistent differences between patients and controls (68-71). We only included studies that directly compared patients and controls and reported a clusters showing altered cerebellum activation between groups (seven emotion studies and two theory of mind studies).

>> Table 1 <<

>> Table 2 <<

>> Figure 1 <<

**Results**

Emotion processing studies

Six studies reported decreased cerebellum activity in schizophrenia patients relative to healthy controls during emotion processing tasks, one study reported increased activity in patients relative to healthy controls, and one study reported no statistically significant differences between patients and controls (though task dependent activity was observed in controls only and not patients) (see **table 1; figure 1(a)**). These results were based on either BOLD (blood-oxygenated level-dependent) signal (fMRI) or cerebral blood flow (CBF; positron emission tomography, or PET).

Interpretations of these results are limited by the heterogeneity of the patient samples used, including samples with varying levels of symptom severity and medication dosage. Studies also presented different types of stimuli to examine emotion processing, including videos of facial emotion (57), pictures of facial emotion (53), images from the International Affective Picture System (59, 62, 61, 51, 63), and pleasant/unpleasant olfactory stimuli (60).

In addition, three studies reported effects of gender (54, 52) and negative symptoms (55, 52) within a group of schizophrenia patients. These studies reported increasing cerebellum activity during sad film viewing correlated with negative symptoms in males but not in females (52), increasing cerebellar activity in males relative to females during processing of negative images (54), and a pattern of increased cerebellar activity during sad film viewing observed in patients with blunted affect but not in patients without (55). Cerebellum clusters showing altered activity in patient groups in these studies were observed across both cerebellar hemispheres in these studies, with several clusters observed in the posterior cerebellum.

Theory of mind studies

One study reported increased cerebellum activity in schizophrenia patients relative to healthy controls during theory of mind, one study reporting decreased activity, and one study reported no significant differences in cerebellum activity when the groups were compared (though task dependent activity was observed in patients only and not controls) (56, 58, 50) (**table 2; figure 1(b)**). These studies also presented different types of stimuli to examine theory of mind processing, including videos of moving shapes (50), visual presentation of verbal stories (58) and static cartoon strips (56), but each of these tasks required participants to mentlalise about momentary actions and beliefs rather than enduring personality traits or more abstract trait inferences (1). Cerebellar clusters showing altered activity in patients were reported in regions including bilateral Lobule VI and right Crus II.

ALE meta-analysis

ALE meta-analysis of the seven emotion studies revealed one cluster in the left culmen that showed significant overlap between two of the studies (59-60) (**figure 2(a)**; p<0.01, false discovery rate (FDR) corrected and volume > 200 mm3). ALE meta-analysis of the two theory of mind studies revealed one cluster in the left vermis that showed significant overlap between the studies (50; 58) (**figure 2(b)**; p<0.01, false discovery rate (FDR) corrected and volume > 200 mm3).

>> Figure 2 <<

**Discussion**

This review described neuroimaging studies that reported altered function of the cerebellum in patients with schizophrenia during emotion processing and theory of mind. Consistent with reports of lower cerebellum activity in schizophrenia in both resting-state studies and studies of other cognitive functions (41, 43), patients generally showed lower cerebellar activity relative to healthy controls during emotion processing. In addition, three studies reported effects of gender and/or symptom severity on cerebellar function in patients during emotion processing. Association between cerebellar activity and theory of mind were more equivocal by comparison. In presenting this evidence for the cerebellum’s role in aberrant emotional processing in schizophrenia, this review highlights a broader role for the cerebellum in schizophrenia pathophysiology than simply in cognitive dysfunction (72).

Weaker engagement of the cerebellum during emotion processing may contribute to the blunted affect and difficulties recognising emotion in others associated with schizophrenia (10, 11). Consistent with this hypothesis, both established and experimental treatments for schizophrenia have been suggested to exert some of their effects through a mechanism of altered cerebellum activity. Surguladze et al. (53) reported increased cerebellum activity in patients treated with risperidone compared to patients treated with conventional anti-psychotics during facial emotion recognition. In an attempt to treat affective symptoms more specifically, Demirtas-Tatlidede et al. (73) used MRI-guided transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) to increase vermal activity in schizophrenia patients, and this was associated with improvements in mood and negative symptoms. To confirm the hypothesised contribution of the cerebellum to altered emotion recognition in schiozphrenia, it will be important for future MRI studies of emotion in schizophrenia to include the cerebellum in addition to corticolimbic regions typically associated with affective symptoms, particularly regarding choice of regions of interest and regions for inclusion in functional connectivity analyses.

Two of the studies in our review reported that male patients with schizophrenia displayed altered cerebellum activity compared to female patients during emotion processing. Mendrek et al. (54) observed right vermis activity in males relative to females when viewing negative images and later (52) observed that left vermis activity in males was correlated with negative symptoms, but this pattern was not observed in females. In healthy volunteers, gender differences in vermis activity during emotion processing have also been widely reported, albeit in what appears to be the opposite direction. In a meta-analysis of 88 functional neuroimaging studies (74), female volunteers displayed increased right vermis activity relative to males. While the schizophrenia studies reported here appear to be in the opposite direction, any conclusions about patient specific effects remain speculative due to the small number of studies that have directly examined gender. Future studies including both patients with schizophrenia and healthy volunteers will no doubt shed further light on this question by further examining gender x diagnosis interactions.

Cerebellar effects on emotion processing in schizophrenia were not confined to either hemisphere. Patients with schizophrenia displayed altered activity in both cerebellar hemispheres in the emotion studies, consistent with previous research reporting bilateral cerebellar activation during emotion processing (32, 75). Clusters in the vermis (52, 54, 60), left Crus I (57) and right Lobule VI (54) are also consistent with previous clinical and neuroimaging data implicating these regions in emotion processing (31, 32). However, ALE meta-analysis of coordinates from the seven emotion studies directly comparing patients and controls revealed a cluster showing overlap only between two of the studies (59-60). This suggests that results from the emotions studies are variable across studies, possibly owing to the variety of emotion processing tasks employed (although the two studies identified in the meta-analysis used different emotion processing tasks, suggesting that similar patterns might be observed despite the use of different tasks).

Thus, although this review suggests that the cerebellum is affected in schizophrenia during emotion processing, the variability of the results and experiments used and the lack of significant clusters observed across the studies in the meta-analysis do not allow us to infer how specifically the cerebellum might be affected. Further neuroimaging studies of emotion processing in schizophrenia will no doubt help to clarify this point, using more similar tasks and examining patients with similar levels of symptom severity and medication.

It should be noted that some of the cerebellar clusters reported were, based on the Anatomy Toolbox used in this review, adjacent to the cerebellum, e.g. (54, 63, 59). This was likely due to individual differences in cerebellar morphology, and differences in MRI pre-processing methodologies that may affect localisation of cerebellum coordinates (32). Whatever the reason, confirming whether these clusters are cerebellar or rather adjacent to the cerebellum will likely be clarified as data from further studies accumulate. In addition, one cluster appeared to be localised outside the cerebellum and adjacent regions (51).

Results from ALE meta-analysis by Van Overwalle et al. (8; 33) and functional connectivity analysis by Buckner et al. (38) suggests the cerebellum plays an important role in theory of mind, with areas such as Crus I consistently activated during abstract mentalising tasks, and showing functional connectivity with the default network. However, differences in cerebellum activity during theory of mind in schizophrenia revealed by this study were equivocal both in the specific region activated and in the direction of activation. Specifically, relative to controls, patients showed *decreased* right posterior cerebellum activity during a verbal theory of mind task (58) but, *increased* left posterior cerebellum activity during a theory of mind task that emphasised spatial processing (50). ALE meta-analysis of the theory of mind studies revealed only one cluster in the left vermis showing significant overlap between these studies. However, the paucity of studies showing any cerebellar effects on theory of mind, and the differences in verbal versus spatial tasks used, make interpretation of these inconsistencies hazardous. Also, each theory of mind task required participants to engage in momentary mental state inferences rather than abstract trait inferences. Thus, given that the cerebellum plays a particularly important role in abstract mentalising (8; 33), it will be a priority for future studies to examine whether cerebellum activity is altered in schizophrenia patients specifically during this type of theory of mind.

In terms of limitations, this review only examined studies that reported altered function of the cerebellum. Using the same search term and excluding ‘cerebellum’ yielded 480 studies, suggesting that there is likely to be a large body of functional neuroimaging literature on emotion and theory of mind in schizophrenia in which altered cerebellum activity was either not examined or not reported. Also, due to the under-publication of negative findings, other neuroimaging studies of emotion and theory of mind in schizophrenia may not have been retrieved. As a result, the studies examined in this review may misrepresent the role of the cerebellum in emotion and theory of mind in schizophrenia. However, these results could be extended by more systematic investigation of the cerebellum in schizophrenia as more studies, including negative findings, are published.

A second limitation is that, for those studies that did report cerebellar effects, these effects were not the primary focus of these studies and were likely to represent an incidental finding. Arising from this, the relationship between cerebellum activity and either symptom severity or task accuracy was not considered in most studies. Future studies could address this issue by examining effects of cerebellum activity in schizophrenia on behaviour and symptomatology, similar to the approach taken by Mendrek et al. (52).

Future imaging studies could also advance our knowledge of the cerebellum’s role in schizophrenia by examining functional connectivity between this region and other brain regions during emotion/theory of mind tasks. Abnormal functional connectivity has been proposed as a key etiological factor in schizophrenia (76), and is observed in patients relative to healthy controls across different imaging modalities (77-80), and during emotion processing (81). Abnormal functional connectivity between the cerebellum and brain regions important in emotion processing and theory of mind (e.g. the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC)) has already been observed in schizophrenia patients relative to healthy controls (44).

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, we reviewed the evidence from all functional neuroimaging studies reporting abnormal cerebellum function in schizophrenia patients during emotion processing and theory of mind tasks. During performance of emotion recognition tasks patients showed lower cerebellum activity during emotion processing relative to healthy controls, consistent with resting state studies and studies of neuropsychological function. Associations between cerebellar function and theory of mind were more equivocal. Future studies can add to what is known about the cerebellum in schizophrenia by (a) examining the effects of abnormal cerebellum function on clinical symptom severity and behaviour, and (b) establishing whether functional connectivity between the cerebellum and corticolimbic regions is altered in patients relative to controls during emotion and theory of mind tasks. Doing so may help further elucidate the contributions of this structure to emotional and cognitive deficits in schizophrenia.
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**Tables**

**Table 1:** Functional neuroimaging studies reporting altered cerebellum activity during emotion processing tasks in schizophrenia patients

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Number** | **Name** | **Sample** | **Method** | **Main cerebellar findings** | **Coordinates of cerebellar clusters (MNI)** | **Region and highest probability estimate if available** |
| 1 | Mothersill 2014 (57) | 25 SZ; 21 HC | fMRI during a facial emotion recognition task | Decreased BOLD signal during face processing in SZ relative to HC (angry and neutral faces) | -39 -70 -23 | Left cerebellumAssigned to Lobule VIIa Crus I (Hem), Probability: 63% |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | -18 -76 -35 | Left cerebellumAssigned to Lobule VIIa Crus I (Hem), Probability: 68% |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | -24 -79 -23 | Left cerebellumAssigned to Lobule VIIa Crus I (Hem), Probability: 98% |
| 4 | Lakis 2011 (51) | 37 SZ; 37 HC | fMRI during an emotional memory task | Increased cerebellar activity during retrieval of positive emotional memories in SZ relative to HC | 21 -28 38 | Right hemisphereNot found in any probability map |
| 5 | Mendrek 2011 (52) | 15 male SZ;10 female SZ | fMRI during viewing of sad and neutral film clips | Activity not directly compared between males and females, but increased left cerebellum BOLD signal during sad films was correlated with negative symptoms in males and not females (first level contrast = sad film viewing > neutral film viewing) | -3 -69 -54 | Left cerebellumAssigned to Lobule VIIIb (Vermis)Probability: 34% |
| 6 | Surguladze 2011 (53) | 16 SZ treated with risperidone; 16 SZ treated with conventional antipsychotics;16 healthy controls | fMRI during a facial emotion recognition task | Decreased right cerebellum BOLD signal during happy face processing in conventionally treated SZ relative to SZ receiving risperidone and healthy controls | 43 -53 -39 | Right cerebellumAssigned to Lobule VIIa Crus I (Hem)Probability: 70% |
| 7 | Mendrek 2007 (54) | 15 male SZ; 10 female SZ | fMRI during viewing of emotional images | Increased cerebellum BOLD signal during processing of negative images in males relative to females (first-level contrast = negative image viewing > neutral image viewing) | 7 -68 -23 | Right cerebellar vermisAssigned to Lobule VI (Hem)Probability: 70% |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | -38 -54 -21 | Left fusiform gyrus |
| 9 | Taylor 2005 (61) | 18 SZ; 10 HC | PET during an emotional image task | No significant differences when groups were compared directly (but task dependent activity was observed in HC and not in SZ during positive image viewing) | 58 -52 -30 | Right cerebellumProbability for Lobule VIIa Crus I (Hem): 5% |
| 10 | Stip 2005 (55) | 14 SZ with blunted affect;11 SZ without blunted affect | fMRI during viewing of sad film clips | Increased right cerebellum BOLD signal during sad film viewing in SZ with blunted affect relative to SZ without blunted affect | 17 -47 -17 | Right cerebellumAssigned to Lobule VProbability: 55% |
| 11 | Fahim 2004 (63) | 1 SZ; 1 HC twin sibling | fMRI during an emotional episodic memory task | Increased right cerebellum BOLD signal during negative memory retrieval in HC relative to SZ twin | 50 -58 -22 | Right inferior temporal gyrus |
| 12 | Takahashi 2004 (62) | 15 SZ; 15 HC | fMRI during an emotional image task | Decreased left cerebellum BOLD signal during viewing of unpleasant images in SZ relative to HC | -14 -50 -25 | Left hemisphereProbability for Lobule V: 20% |
| 13 | Paradiso 2003 (59) | 18 SZ; 17 HC | PET during an emotional image task | Decreased right cerebellum CBF during evaluation of unpleasant images in SZ relative to HC | 2 -58 -25 | Right cerebellumAssigned to Lobule V, Probability: 42% |
| 14 |  |  |  |  | 20 -85 -16 | Right lingual gyrusAssigned to area 18Probability: 40% |
| 15 | Crespo-Facorro 2001 (60) | 18 SZ; 16 HC | PET during an emotion-induction olfactory task | Decreased cerebellum CBF in SZ relative to HC during processing of negative olfactory stimuli | -1 -63 -21 | Left cerebellar vermisAssigned to Lobule VI (Vermis)Probability 62% |

Abbreviations: SZ = Schizophrenia patients; HC = Healthy controls; fMRI = functional magnetic resonance imaging; BOLD = blood oxygen-level dependent; Hem = hemisphere; PET = positron emission tomography; CBF = cerebral blood flow

**Table 2:** Functional neuroimaging studies reporting altered cerebellum activity during theory of mind tasks in schizophrenia patients

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Number** | **Name** | **Sample** | **Method** | **Main cerebellar findings** | **Coordinates of cerebellar clusters (MNI)** | **Region and highest probability estimate if available** |
| 1 | Pedersen 2012 (50) | 15 SZ; 14 HC | fMRI during a “Moving Shapes” theory of mind task | Increased BOLD signal during theory of mind in SZ relative to HC | -4 -44 -34 | Left hemisphereNot assignedProbability for Lobule IX (Hem): 18% |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | -1 -78 -16 | Left cerebellar vermisAssigned to Lobule VI (Vermis)Probability: 89% |
| 3 | Andreasen 2008 (58) | 18 SZ; 13 HC | PET during an affective theory of mind task | Decreased right cerebellum CBF in SZ relative to HC during theory of mind | 12 -55 -9 | Right cerebellumProbability for Lobule VI (Hem): 26% |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | 27 -58 -40 | Right hemisphereNot found in any probability map |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | 13 -75 -35 | Right cerebellumAssigned to Lobule VIIa Crus II (Hem)Probability: 46% |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | 2 -76 -17 | Right cerebellar vermisAssisnged to Lobule VI (Vermis)Probability: 100% |
| 7 | Brunet 2003 (56) | 7 SZ; 8 HC | PET during a theory of mind task | No significant differences when groups were compared directly(but task dependent activity was observed in SZ and not in HC during mentalising) | -8 -40 -10 | Left cerebellumAssigned to Lobules I-IV (Hem), Probability: 88% |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | 20 -68 -28 | Right cerebellumAssigned to Lobule VI (Hem)Probability: 74% |

Abbreviations: SZ = Schizophrenia patients; HC = Healthy controls; fMRI = functional magnetic resonance imaging; BOLD = blood oxygen-level dependent; Hem = hemisphere; PET = positron emission tomography; CBF = cerebral blood flow

**Figures**

****

**Figure 1:** MNI coordinates of clusters showing altered cerebellar activity in schizophrenia patients, across (a) emotion processing tasks and (b) theory of mind tasks; see **table 1** and **table 2** for a list of corresponding studies



**Figure 2:** ALE activation maps for clusters showing significant overlap between (a) two emotion studies and (b) two theory of mind studies (p<0.01, false discovery rate (FDR) corrected and volume > 200 mm3), rendered on the ‘ch256’ brain template using MRIcroGL (http://www.mccauslandcenter.sc.edu/mricrogl/).