Engaging ‘hard to reach’ groups of men: Translating evidence into effective health promotion practice
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Gaps between evidence of effectiveness and what occurs in practice
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Research/Policy Context

• ‘There is a significant gradient in mortality rates across SEG for both men [higher] and women with the absolute and relative differential between professional and manual occupational groups increasing between the 1980s and 2000s…’ (Layte et al., 2014)
Research/Policy Context

• Men who are at the highest risk of adverse health outcomes; i.e. men who experience isolation, unemployment/low incomes, low levels of education; are less likely to engage with health-services or health-promoting practices.

• There are blatant gaps in service availability for men with the men most in need of services often seen as “hard to reach”
Study 1: MHWP

- Activities run over 10 weeks with 4 hours per week contact covering:
  - Baseline and Post intervention health screening
  - Soccer and fitness training
  - Health awareness workshops
  - Cookery classes
supporting
listening
cajoling
accepting (not judging)
nurturing
encouraging
educating
laughing
• **Gap:** Paucity of resources/toolkits for service providers that highlight strategies for engaging men in health promotion.

• **Aim:** What strategies or mechanisms contribute to meaningful programme/service development and delivery for men?

• Focus on the ‘how’ and the ‘why’ rather than the ‘what’
Methodology

Ethical Approval

Nine semi-structured, qualitative interviews with partner organisations and session facilitators

Principles of grounded theory used for data analysis

Online market-research survey to guide the format, style and structure of the resource
Key Findings

ENGAGING MEN
AS PARTNERS & PARTICIPANTS:
Guiding Principles, Strategies, and Perspectives for Community Initiatives & Holistic Partnerships
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• What services are currently effective in reaching men?
• What are some common stereotypes or myths surrounding men, masculinities, and wellbeing in our locality?
• What are some barriers or challenges that may prevent men from accessing services in our community?
• How can we attract men to participate, and sustain their involvement over time?
• How might men perceive our organisation/service/programme?
Community Engagement

- Get to know your community’s needs, priorities, strengths and resources
- Become ‘in-tune’ with the target population
- Don’t re-invent the wheel - consider partnering with a community organisation to capitalise on existing relationships that have already developed trust and ‘street cred’
- Create multi-directional communication channels to maintain accountability, transparency, and opportunities for reflection
Partnerships

- Build partnerships based on complementary areas of expertise, resources, goals and missions
- Ensure you have, or develop, common values, principles, and expectations
- Make the development of trust between team members and partners a priority
- Establish a clear leadership model
- Think outside the box and be unconventional in selecting partners.
- Communicate!
Programme Development and Delivery

• No ‘one-size-fits-all’ model
• Find a ‘hook’
• Develop a continuous process of outreach and engagement
• Create opportunities for men to take on leadership roles
• Use a variety of facilitation approaches to engage men, and challenge traditional notions of how men participate (e.g. collaborative work, friendship-building, supportive environments)
• Prioritise self-care for facilitators/staff
• Encourage constructive feedback
• Ensure that men feel safe
Conclusion: Bridging the gap between theory and practice

Capacity Building
(Concentrating on ‘how’ rather than ‘what’, and working as greater than the sum of parts)

Holistic Approach
(Focusing on the links: social determinants of health, intersectional constructions of gender)

RE-IMAGINING GENDER & HEALTH NORMS / PRACTICES

Community Engagement
(Valuing local knowledge, expertise, priorities)

Strategic Partnerships
(Promoting diversity, trust, feasibility, and taking chances on unlikely collaborations)
Study 2: Men’s Sheds
Methodology

Ethical Approval

Twenty-seven semi-structured, qualitative interviews in five Sheds

Principles of grounded theory used for data analysis
Life Transitions and Voids

Personal Growth

Community & Relationships

Support, Intimacy & Altruism

Vulnerability, Isolation and Exclusion
Support, Intimacy & Altruism

• Meaningful relationships [‘shoulder to shoulder’!]; shared experiences; feeling accepted; giving and receiving support; banter; giving back/altruism; burden of responsibility

• “Sometimes you feel you’re a priest with all the confessions you hear. It’s like a priest, you keep them to yourself.” – Padraig

• “I go home every evening and I feel good. I’ve made a difference in somebody’s life.” – Ryan
Key Reflections

• Shedders’ wellbeing linked to a sense of belonging, using or learning new skills, being supported or supportive, having a purpose, feeling useful, being connected to others, and contributing or giving back.

• Feelings of solidarity, camaraderie, and belonging facilitated ease and comfort in sharing experiences, and seeking help from others.

• Concerns among some Shedders about roles, responsibilities and boundaries in terms of offering support to more vulnerable Shedders and the wider ramifications for ‘open door’ shed membership policies.

• Sheds can become a promising alternative space for men which can change the ways in which men are visible in communities.
Key Reflections

• If it ain’t broken...
• Future work that examines opportunities for **meaningful collaboration** between Sheds and surrounding community/health promotion services could help provide more pathways for men to access support without compromising the **integrity and intentionality** of Sheds as peer-run spaces.
• Working **with** Shedders, Sheds provide a viable alternative space to engage ‘hard to reach’ groups of men and an opportunity to bridge the gap between theory and practice.